

Securing University Research Against Foreign Threats

Since 2018, Congress, the administration, and federal research agencies have taken several actions to address research security through provisions included in the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA), the CHIPS and Science Act, and the National Security Presidential Memorandum 33 (NSPM-33). These steps augment new research security policies and procedures universities have established on their own.

It is essential to allow time for enacted measures to be implemented - including the administration's work on NSPM-33 - and to carefully consider the negative consequences of additional legislation, such as the DETERRENT Act. This bill would significantly impede productive research collaborations and could have a counterproductive effect on the ability to conduct important research on behalf of the American people.

In the last seven years, Congress has passed legislation to address risk-based security reviews for Department of Defense-funded research projects, faculty disclosures, malign foreign talent programs, research security training for faculty and staff, foreign gifts from countries/entities of concern, and Confucius Institutes. Congress has also established the SECURE Center, a new research security and analysis sharing organization that will convene institutions and provide them with important tools and analysis to better assess and mitigate research security risks. We urge Congress to allow for these existing provisions and policies to be implemented and for their impact to be evaluated before considering additional measures to address research security.

If the United States does not carefully balance the need for new security requirements with the associated costs, we risk losing our competitive advantage as other nations seek to advance their research capabilities. America's leading research universities take seriously the economic and national security threats posed by foreign adversaries and universities have taken steps to secure and protect the research they conduct. At the same time, international scientific collaboration and scientific openness remain critical to America's continued global scientific leadership.

The Association of American Universities (AAU) and the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU) surveyed our members to collect effective research security practices. The results demonstrate that universities are taking these matters seriously and have taken steps to address research security, including:

Research Security Strategy and Coordination: Universities have established campus-wide working
groups and task forces on research security which regularly meet to review the latest threats and
effective practices and discuss policy implementation. Institutions have also established a chief
research security officer position to coordinate and oversee efforts to protect and secure research.





Continued

- Research Security Training Requirements & Awareness Building Efforts: Universities are incorporating
 research security training modules as required by federal agencies. Universities have created
 centralized websites with guidance and FAQs for faculty to keep them apprised of the growing list of
 federal policies and requirements related to research security.
- Risk Assessment and Mitigation Process: Universities have developed risk criteria and use of
 comprehensive review processes for review of grants, contracts, and foreign gifts. To this end,
 institutions increasingly have established a risk management committee for discussion and review of
 international engagements and collaborations. Universities also engage and comply with federal
 research agencies risk review and mitigation processes.
- Policies on Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest and Conflicts of Commitment: Universities have reviewed
 their conflict-of-interest and conflict-of-commitment policies and made updates to faculty disclosure
 policies to more clearly identify foreign affiliations, relationships, and financial interests.
- Engagement and Coordination with Federal Intel Agencies and Security Officials: Universities regularly
 meet with, and have built strong relationships with, their local FBI offices. Universities also engage
 research funding agencies when they need to mitigate and resolve research security issues.
- Policies on Foreign Gifts and Contracts Reporting: Universities have assessed their policies on reporting foreign gifts and contracts and have improved their reporting procedures as part of Section 117 of the Higher Education Act.
- Policies on Export Control Compliance: Universities have put in place comprehensive policies regarding
 whether and how they will undertake export-controlled research activities. This includes using
 restricted-party-screening software within shipping, procurement, academic visitor processes, and
 training for faculty and staff. Additionally, universities have an export control officer (or officers) with
 overall responsibility for ensuring university compliance with export control rules and other security
 controls.
- Policies on International Travel & International Visitors to Campus: Universities have implemented risk-based international travel policies for faculty and staff. NSPM-33 requires universities to collect information on researchers and their foreign travel. Universities also have requirements for vetting and securely hosting foreign visitors while on campus, including centralized processes for evaluating prospective visitors.

Universities are continually improving their research security programs on campus. Legislation previously approved by Congress and signed into law has:

- helped strengthen disclosures
- address malign foreign talent programs
- restrict Confucius Institutes
- and mandate training and resources for university faculty and staff.

