

# Securing University Research Against Foreign Threats

*In addition to new policies and procedures established by universities themselves, Congress, the administration, and federal research agencies have taken several actions since 2018 to address research security through provisions included in the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA), the CHIPS and Science Act, and National Security Presidential Memorandum 33 (NSPM-33). It is essential to allow implementation time for enacted measures (including the administration's work on NSPM-33) and to carefully consider the negative consequences of additional legislation, such as the DETERRENT Act, which would add significant impediments to productive research collaborations and could have a counterproductive effect on the ability to conduct important research on behalf of the American people.*

## Research Security Provisions Enacted by Congress

**FY19 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 115-232)**  
Section 1286

**FY20 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 116-92)**  
Sections 1281 and 1746

**FY21 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 116-283)**  
Sections 223, 1062, 1299C, and 9907

**CHIPS and Science Act of 2022 (P.L. 117-167)**  
Sections 10114, 10331, 10336, 10337, 10338, 10339,  
10631, 10632 and 10634

**SBIR and STTR Extension Act of 2022 (P.L. 117-183)**  
Section 4

**FY24 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 118-31)**  
Sections 1044, 1045, 1223 and 1224

**FY25 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 118-159)**  
Sections 226 and 238



In the last seven years, Congress has passed legislation to address risk-based security reviews for DOD-funded research projects, faculty disclosures, malign foreign talent programs, research security training for faculty and staff, foreign gifts from countries/entities of concern, and Confucius Institutes. Congress has also established the SECURE Center, a new research security and analysis sharing organization, that will convene institutions and provide them with important tools and analysis to better assess and mitigate research security risks. ***We urge Congress to allow for these existing provisions and policies to be implemented and for their impact to be evaluated before considering additional measures to address research security.***

***What's at stake: If the United States does not carefully balance the need for new security requirements with the associated costs, we risk losing our competitive advantage as other nations seek to advance their research capabilities.***

America's leading research universities take seriously the economic and national security threats posed by foreign adversaries and universities have taken steps to secure and protect the research they conduct. At the same time, international scientific collaboration and scientific openness remain critical to America's continued global scientific leadership.

**Key Facts:** The Association of American Universities (AAU) and the Association of Public and Land-grant Universities (APLU) have [surveyed](#) our members to collect effective research security practices. The results demonstrate that universities are taking these matters seriously and have taken steps to address research security, including:

- **Research Security Strategy and Coordination:** Universities have established campus-wide working groups and task forces on research security which regularly meet to review the latest threats and effective practices and discuss policy implementation. Institutions have also established a chief research security officer position to coordinate and oversee efforts to protect and secure research.
- **Research Security Training Requirements & Awareness Building Efforts:** Universities are incorporating [research security training modules](#) as required by federal agencies. Universities have created centralized websites with guidance and FAQs for faculty to keep them apprised of the growing list of federal policies and requirements related to research security.
- **Risk Assessment and Mitigation Process:** Universities have developed risk criteria and use of comprehensive review processes for review of grants, contracts, and foreign gifts. To this end, institutions increasingly have established a risk management committee for discussion and review of international engagements and collaborations. Universities also engage and comply with federal research agencies risk review and mitigation processes.
- **Policies on Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest and Conflicts of Commitment:** Universities have reviewed their conflict-of-interest and conflict-of-commitment policies and made updates to faculty disclosure policies to more clearly identify foreign affiliations, relationships, and financial interests.
- **Engagement and Coordination with Federal Intel Agencies and Security Officials:** Universities regularly meet with, and have built strong relationships with, their local FBI offices. Universities also engage research funding agencies when they need to mitigate and resolve research security issues.
- **Policies on Foreign Gifts and Contracts Reporting:** Universities have assessed their policies on reporting foreign gifts and contracts and have improved their reporting procedures as part of Section 117 of the Higher Education Act.
- **Policies on Export Control Compliance:** Universities have put in place comprehensive policies regarding whether and how they will undertake export-controlled research activities. This includes using restricted-party-screening software within shipping, procurement, academic visitor processes, and training for faculty and staff. Additionally, universities have an export control officer (or officers) with overall responsibility for ensuring university compliance with export control rules and other security controls.
- **Policies on International Travel & International Visitors to Campus:** Universities have implemented risk-based international travel policies for faculty and staff. NSPM-33 requires universities to collect information on researchers and their foreign travel. Universities also have requirements for vetting and securely hosting foreign visitors while on campus, including centralized processes for evaluating prospective visitors.

**Universities are continually improving their research security programs on campus. Legislation has helped strengthen disclosures, address malign foreign talent programs, restrict Confucius Institutes, and mandate training and resources for university faculty and staff.**